REMARKS

Claims 5, 7-12, and 16-20 are presently pending. Claims 1-4 and 13-15 are withdrawn from consideration. Claim 6 is cancelled without prejudice.

Examiner objected to the specification and drawings. Assignee has amended the specification and the drawings, and it is respectfully submitted that each of the objections are now overcome.

Claim 5 is amended to include the limitations of claim 6. Claims 6-8 and 16-19 were rejected from the combination of MacInnis and Berman under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Assignee respectfully submits, however, that U.S. Patent 7,007,031 to MacInnis and the current application were both assigned to the Broadcom Corporation at the time of the invention. See MacInnis, Cover Sheet, and Reel/Frame 014787/0520. Accordingly, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(c)(1), MacInnis does not preclude patentability under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests that Examiner withdraw the rejections to claims 5, 7, 8 and 16-19.

Claims 9-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by MacInnis. Claim 9 recites, among other limitations, "an extractor for transmitting an indicator to a direct memory access engine indicating that the local buffer can store another portion of the video data, after the decompression engine decodes the portions of the video data stored in the local buffer."

Examiner has indicated that MacInnis teaches that "Bridge module 204 communicates with the local decoder memory 212 ad main memory 11, according to instructions from core processor 202 (column 6, lines 46-51). This

corresponds with the claimed 'extractor'." Office Action at 7.

Assignee respectfully submits that even if the foregoing was correct, MacInnis does not teach "an extractor for <u>transmitting an indicator</u> to a direct memory access engine". Even if arguendo, the Bridge Module 204 taught "transmitting an indicator", there is no teaching that the indicator is "indicating that the local buffer can store another portion of the video data", and "after the decompression engine decodes the portions of the video data stored in the local buffer". For any one of the foregoing reasons, Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection to claim 9 and requests that Examiner withdraw it. Additionally, Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection to claim 20 and requests the Examiner withdraw it.

For at least the foregoing reasons, each of the pending claims is in a condition for allowance. Examiner is requested to pass this case to issuance.

Please charge any required fees not paid herewith or credit any overpayment to the Deposit Account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd., Account No. 13-0017.

Respectfully submitted,

December 24, 2007

Mirut Dalal
Registration No. 44,052
Attorney for Assignee

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60661

Phone (312) 775-8000
FAX   (312) 775-8100